COPY

•

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21 22

23

MANHATTAN

Plaintiff.

CONPIDENTIA

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

-against-

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

No. 96-2-15056-8 SEA

AMERICAN TOBACCO CO., INC.; BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO CORP.; LIGGETT & MYERS, INC.; LORILLARD TOBACCO CO., INC.; PHILIP MORRIS, INC.; R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.; UNITED STATES TOBACCO CO.; B.A.T. INDUSTRIES, P.L.C.; HILL & KNOWLTON, INC.; THE COUNSEL FOR TOBACCO RESEARCH-U.S.A., INC.; SMOKELESS TOBACCO COUNCIL, INC.; TOBACCO INSTITUTE, INC.; foreign corporations; and unknown corporations; and JOHN DOB 1-100, and JANE DOE 1-100, individuals,

Defendants.

May 20, 1997 9:00 a.m.

Deposition of JON N. ZOLER, taken by
Plaintiff, pursuant to notice, at the offices of
Winston & Strawn, Esqs., 200 Park Avenue,
New York, New York, before Gail F. Schorr, a
Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public

ithin and for the State of New York.

2058327696

1 2 APPEARANCES: . HAGENS & BERMAN, ESQS. 3 Attorneys for STATE OF WASHINGTON 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2929 Seattle, Washington 98101 5 CLYDE A. PLATT, ESQ., of Counsel. BY: 6 7 8 WINSTON & STRAWN, ESQS. Attorneys for PHILIP MORRIS, INC. 9 and the Witness 200 Park Avenue 10 New York, New York 10166 11 BY: ALAN B. HOWARD, ESQ., -and-12 ADAM J. SCHLATNER, ESQ., of Counsel. 13 - AND -14 ARNOLD & PORTER, ESQS.
777 South Figueroa Street, 44th Floor 15 Los Angeles, California 90017 16 BY: ERIC L. DOBBERTEEN, ESQ., 17 of Counsel. 18 ALSO PRESENT: 19 20 MARK PRADO, Videographer Action Legal Video, Inc. 21 22 2058327697 23 24 25

_	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the video
3	operator speaking, Mark Prado, of Action Legal
4	Video, 132 Nassau Street, New York, New York. W
5	are here on this day, May 20th, 1997, at the tim
6	continuously recorded on the videotape, at the
7	offices of Winston & Strawn, 200 Park Avenue, Ne
8	York, New York, to take the videotaped deposition
9	of Jon Zoler, in the matter of State of
0	Washington versus American Tobacco Company,
11	Incorporated, et al., in the Superior Court of
12	Washington for King County, number 96-2-15056-8.
. 3	Will counsel please introduce
L 4	themselves.

MR. PLATT: Clyde Platt of Hagens & Berman on behalf of the State of Washington.

MR. HOWARD: Alan Howard of Winston & Strawn on behalf of defendant Philip Morris.

MR. SCHLATNER: Adam Schlatner from Winston & Strawn on behalf of defendant Philip Morris.

MR. DOBBERTEEN: Eric Dobberteen of Arnold & Porter on behalf of defendant Philip Morris.

> THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The witness may be

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

SWOID.

JON N. ZOLER,

residing at [DELETED]

[DELETED] having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public (Gail F. Schorr), was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MR. PLATT:

- Q. Mr. Zoler, can you state your name and address for the record, please?
  - A. My name is Jon Zoler. I live at

### [DELETED]

Q. My chief objective today is to be clear in the questions that I ask. If I'm not clear let me know and I'll do my best to reformulate until we have some understanding. The objections your attorneys make are for the record. Unless they ask you or instruct you to not answer, I may from time to time ask that you answer over their objections. We can take a break any time you want.

We'll be using documents throughout the day, they will have numbers that were not on them originally, that we refer to sometimes as Bates numbers. I will in order to help you

locate certain text refer to both the Bates number and whatever page numbers I can find that were part of the document originally.

Have you ever been deposed before,

Mr. Zoler?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. How many times?
- A. I don't remember the exact number.

10 Probably three, three or so.

- Q. Did any of those depositions relate to your work at Philip Morris?
  - A. Yes, they did.
    - Q. Did all of them relate to that work?
    - A. I'm not sure. I think they did.
- Q. Do you recall in general what you were deposed about on those occasions?

A. There was the Cippolone case. There was a case involving Liggett & Myers I believe suing Brown & Williamson when Brown & Williamson entered the private label generic brand cigarettes. I believe there was a deposition involving an employee of Philip Morris who went to Brown & Williamson. And I was also involved in testimony in court when Philip Morris sued

Zoler

Lorillard with respect to the advertising for Triumph cigarettes.

- Q. And the Cippolone case, do you remember what the subject of your deposition was, in general?
- A. All I recall is they spent a lot of time about my background, about my responsibilities, about some articles that I wrote. As I recall, I was not at Philip Morris at the time that -- that the period of the information under investigation was related, and, therefore, what I knew came outside of that time.
- Q. What articles, do you recall what articles they inquired about during the course of that deposition in the Cippolone case?
- A. I believe there were three articles.

  I probably don't have the precise titles. One
  was entitled "Measuring the Consumer in the

  1980s." Another article was "The Marketing
  Researcher as Detective," and a third article was
  about research as related to ad claims
  substantiation.
  - Q. Were all of these published?
  - A. Yes, they were.

- Q. Do you remember what publication the measuring consumer in the 1980s article was published in?
- A. I really don't remember. The only publication that I know is the claim substantiation was published in the Advertising Research Foundation's journal.
- Q. Do you have a copy of the deposition transcript in the Cippolone case?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you have copies of the depositions you gave in the other two cases that you've referred to, the Liggett & Myers?
  - A. No.

- Q. Mr. Zoler, did you prepare for this deposition?
  - A. I met with counsel.
  - Q. Who did you meet with?
- A. I met with Alan Howard, Alan, Eric and several other attorneys from Arnold & Porter.
- Q. Where did that meeting or those meetings take place?
  - A. In this building.
  - Q. Did you meet on more than one

2	,	) C	_	•	4	0	m	7
4		, .			•	v	**	•

1

5

6

7

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Yes.
- Q. How many times did you meet?
- A. I believe three times.
- Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of time you spent in each of those meetings?
  - A. Several hours in each meeting.
- Q. During those meetings did you look at any documents?
- 11 A. They showed me some documents, yes.
- DIR Q. Do you recall any of the documents that you reviewed during those meetings?

MR. HOWARD: I'm going to object at this point and instruct the witness not to

Q. Do you remember the subject of any of those documents?

answer. That invades the work product privilege.

A. Yes.

MR. HOWARD: Again I think the subject matter of the documents, unless they refresh recollection, invades the work product privilege and I instruct the witness not to answer beyond yes.

Q. Are you currently performing any

158327703

1	Zoler
2	consulting work for Philip Morris?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Let me back up and get something
5	clear. Are you currently employed full time by
6	Philip Morris?
7	A. No, I'm not.
8	Q. What sort of consulting work are you
9	performing?
10	A. I am at for this deposition, in
11	preparation for this deposition, being paid for
12	my time and expenses.
13	Q. Is there an hourly rate for your
14	time?
15	A. Yes, there is.
16	Q. What is that?
7 ב	A. \$150 an hour.
18	Q. Are you being paid for your time
19	beyond the time you spent in your three meetings
20	that you've referred to?
21	A. I looked at some documents on my own,
22	my old speeches, for example.
23	o. Do you recall how many hours you 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50
24	spent looking at your old speeches?
25	A. A few hours.

7.	_	7	•	•
•	_	•	Œ	4

- Q. Do you have any idea of what the total amount of the bill for your consulting work with respect to this deposition is going to be?
  - A. Four to 5,000 would be a guess.
- Q. Do you intend to include in your bill the time that you're spending here today at the deposition?
- A. If it's legally permissible to do so, I will.
- Q. Do you have any understanding about whether -- strike that. Are you currently employed other than with respect to this consulting engagement we've just been talking about?
- A. I have a marketing research company,

  Jon N. Zoler & Associates, and I do various

  projects from time to time for other clients.
- Q. When did you form Jon N. Zoler & Associates?
- A. When I left Philip Morris I believe in the spring of 1989.
- Q. Since 1989 have you performed any consulting work for Philip Morris or undertaken any engagements on behalf of Philip Morris other

than with respect to this deposition?

A. I have -- yes.

- Q. Could you tell me what the nature of those engagements has been?
- A. In '89 I was involved in a field trip to Asia to examine the marketing research operations of the various subsidiaries that are located in Asia, and I've been involved since then primarily serving as a research consultant to various Philip Morris law firms for doing legal research.
- Q. Which law firms have you consulted with?
- A. Hunton & Williams, Fish & Richardson, Robinson, I think Robinson Silverman, plus other names. I'm not quite sure I know the exact name of that firm. That was not for Philip Morris, though.
- Q. What was the Robinson Silverman engagement for?
- A. It was a communication test of advertising involving housing.
- Q. Just focusing now on your work for Hunton & Williams and Pish & Richardson,

1	
2	

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Zoler

generally what's the nature of that work?

- The work involved either showing advertising and obtaining communication reactions from consumers for that advertising or showing them packaging, and again obtaining consumer responses.
- Was that work related to any specific litigation matter?
- I'm not sure whether it went to litigation.
- Do you have any idea of how much money you've made through your consulting work for Philip Morris since you left the company in 1989?
  - As a guess I'd say around 30,000.
- And that's excluding the money you expect to receive with respect to the engagement for this deposition?
  - λ. Yes.
- Mr. Zoler, do you have a college degree?
  - Yes, I do.
  - What is your degree in?
  - I received a Bachelor's of science in

industrial management from what is now known as Carnegie Mellon University, in 1962, and I received a Master's in industrial administration from the same school in 1963.

Zoler

- Q. After you got your Master's, have you continued to take any course work with any institutions?
  - A. No, I haven't.
- Q. Are the three articles that we've already discussed that were the topic of one of your prior depositions the only articles that you've published?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you a member of any professional organizations?
- member of quite a few organizations while I was still with Philip Morris or the companies that I've been employed by before Philip Morris, and I can give you the names of those. I can also, since the start of my own firm, and I'm really semi-retired, I've dropped most of the memberships. I'm still a member of the Marketing Research Council in New York City.

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

http://legacy.library.ucsf.e&dtid/egq07a00/pdfv.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/pqfl0001

- Q. While you were employed at Philip

  Morris what professional organizations were you a

  member of?
- A. I was a member of the American Marketing Association, the Copy Communications Research Council, the Conference Board Marketing Research Council, the Market Research Council of New York that I've already mentioned, and I'm sure there were others, but those were the primary ones.
- Q. Were you an active member of any of those organizations?
  - A. Yes, I was.

- Q. Which ones?
- A. I should also mention I was -- the Radio and Television Research Council, I was president of that at one point. I was for the Conference Board I had been chairman of their Marketing Research Council for a period of time. I was chairman of the Association of National Advertisers Marketing Research Group.
- Q. Are you fluent in any languages other than English?
  - A. No, I'm not.

1 2

Q. Beginning with your first work or job at Philip Morris, could you please identify the positions you held at the company and the general time frame that you held each of those positions before the time that you left in 1989?

Zoler

- A. I joined the company I believe in September 1976 and I left in March 1989, and I had the same title, although the responsibilities changed somewhat, which was director of marketing research.
- Q. How did your responsibilities change over time?
- A. We got involved in more activities include the greater use of computers, preparation of various reports, the types of -- I guess the types of studies that we did.
- Q. What types of studies were you referring to?
- A. Various kinds of consumer research studies.
- Q. What department of Philip Morris did you work in as the director of marketing research?
  - A. We were part of the marketing

*	_	٠	_	_
z	0	1		T

department which basically reported to an executive VP of marketing and sales.

- Q. Were you considered a division of the marketing department?
  - A. A department.
- Q. A department of the marketing department?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. What other departments were there of the marketing department, and if that changed over time?
- A. Well, in an organization these things constantly change.
  - Q. Right.
- A. There was a -- are we talking about the overall sales and marketing?
- Q. I'll tell you what, why don't we just start with a broad brush and you tell me what the organization was like when you first started working there and then if you can march me through and tell me how it changed?
- A. I can tell you in general terms how the organization was structured. There were various changes along the way that I do not

\* 7

ξ

Zoler

remember, but I can, again, in general terms give you that pattern.

- Q. That would be fine.
- mentioned, was either the senior VP or the executive VP of marketing and sales. Most of the time I reported directly to that individual. Under him there was a sales department which consisted of the management of the salesmen of the field force and various kinds of sales promotion activities. Also reporting to this exec VP was a marketing department which consisted of the brand managers, and under that marketing department, or sometimes -- or sometimes directly to him there would be the advertising department and perhaps consumer promotion department. But as -- this changed repeatedly as the organization restructured.
- Q. Was there generally an advertising function that was part of the marketing department?
  - λ. Yes.
- Q. Was there generally a marketing -- strike that.

Your subdepartment of the marketing department was marketing research; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Was there generally a marketing research function that was part of the marketing department throughout the time you were employed there?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Did you have a staff throughout the time you worked there?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. Approximately how large was it?
- A. Again, it changed over the years, but at its peak I'd say approximately 30 people.
  - Q. When was that peak?
- A. Approximately beginning at the time that I left.
- Q. Do you recollect who your direct report reported to in turn? In other words, you said that most of the time you reported directly to a senior VP or vice president of marketing and sales?
  - A. Correct.

. (	2.	Do	you	recall	who	Þу	function	that
person	repor	ted	l to	?				

- A. Reported directly to the president of the division.
  - Q. What division was that?
  - A. Philip Morris U.S.A.

θ

- Q. Were you located in the same office throughout the time you were employed at Philip Morris?
- A. We were located -- I'm not sure what you mean by office.
- Q. Well, physically did you change locations while you worked at Philip Morris?
- A. The division changed locations and I moved when the division moved.
- Q. What locations -- where did the division used to be and where did it go to?
- A. When I -- when I first joined the company in '76 they were located I believe at 120 Park Avenue, and a number of years later they constructed their current building where they are still located which I believe is 100 Park Avenue.
- Q. So throughout the time you were employed at Philip Morris you were always here in

1

New York City?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

A. Yes, I was.

Q. While you were at Philip Morris, were you a member of any committees, formal committees?

- A. There was a marketing committee which reported or was chaired by the exec/senior VP in charge of sales and marketing. I was a member of that, that group.
- Functionally, and by that I mean by job title, who were the other members of the marketing committee?
- They would have consisted of the vice president or director of media, the vice president of brand management, the person in charge of -- director of promotions, consumer promotions. There was probably somebody from the financial department there who took care of our budgets. The sales VP, and probably the sales promotion VP. I'm probably leaving out some individuals. And again, this changed over time.
- How frequently did the marketing committee meet, typically?
  - I believe we tried to meet once a

7	_	٦	•	
- 2	o	4	E	1

week, although that was seldom achieved.

- Q. How long were the meetings typically?
- A. An hour, perhaps longer if there was some special issue that had to be discussed.
- Q. Was there a published agenda for most of the meetings?
  - A. I don't recall that there was.
  - Q. Were there minutes of the meetings?
  - A. I do not believe there were.
- Were you a member of the U.S. products committee?
- A. No.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Was there such a thing as a U.S. products committee?
- A. There was a products committee, but I'm not sure whether it was a U.S. committee or was a corporate products committee.
- Q. Did you ever consult with that committee?
- A. I made presentations to that committee.
- Q. Do you recall the general nature of your presentations?
  - A. They usually involved information

#### Zoler

regarding new products.

- Q. What sort of information?
- A. An example would be where we had introduced a product into test market and we had information on how well that product was doing, we might present that, that type of data.
- Q. Other than the marketing committee, is there any other committee that you recall being a member of?
- A. The various marketing research directors of the different divisions, and again this changed as the company purchased other companies, or sold them, would meet I believe once a quarter.
- Q. Were there agendas for those meetings?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Were there minutes of those meetings?
- A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Was there a formal title to those meetings?
- A. Essentially I can tell you the purpose of the meetings. I don't know the title.
  - Q. Was there a title for the group, I

117775BCn7

#### Zoler

	h	_	11	٦	A.			v	
	44	u	•	-	ш	-	•	v	

- A. It was probably something like the marketing research directors committee.
- Q. I'm sorry, you were going to tell me what the general purpose of the meetings was?
- A. The purpose of the meetings was to discuss the methodologies that were being used by the research departments of the various company's divisions, and occasionally if there were some interesting study the results and the techniques used in that study would be presented and discussed. We also provided a forum for major research suppliers to present some new research service that they were attempting to sell.

Q. When you referred to research suppliers, do you mean consulting firms?

- A. A firm -- consulting firms and companies such as Nielsen or IRI or MRCA, companies like that, NPD.
- Q. Other than the companies that you've just referred to, are there other research suppliers that you recall having contacts with in your employment at Philip Morris?

A. Yes.

Q. Could you just give me a list of those, please?

list, but we used Market Science Associates to provide an evaluation analysis of factory sales data. We used Nielsen for retail sales audits. At times we used Erhart-Babick for distribution studies. We used a number -- and I can't remember their names because they changed -- of other companies to provide retail audits for test markets. There was a firm called ICC which provided information on distributor sales purchasers. A number of companies did consumer research for us. One was Commercial Analysts. Another one was Roper. MISI, which is, I don't know, marketing science something or other, the Gallup organization.

- Q. I'm sorry, how do you spell MISI?
- A. M-I-S-I I believe it is. Marketing Information Systems, Inc. I believe is their full name, but -- and I'm sure there were quite a few others.

MR. PLATT: I'm sorry, could you read back his answer right before I interrupted.

•

Zoler

(Requested portion of record read.)

- Q. Do you recall where MISI was located?
- A. They were located in Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
  - Q. What about Commercial Analysts?
  - A. New York City.
- Q. Do you recall what sort of consumer research the different companies and organizations you've identified, beginning with Commercial Analysts and ending with Gallup, performed for you?
- A. It would probably be easier to talk about the different kinds of consumer research we did.
  - Q. That would be fine.
- A. And attempt to tie supplier names to that rather than the other way around. We did product testing and that was performed often, I guess most of the time by NPD that is located in Long Island. There the specific division was called HTR Home Testing Institute that did that work. Telephone surveys were done by many companies, including Commercial Analysts. Tests that involved advertising or product concept

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

tests were often done by MISI, although again there were other people that did that, other companies that did that. Roper from time to time would do a major study, a probability sample study on consumer behavior and attitudes. They also provided ongoing information on smoking incidence and consumption by demographic group. We did focus groups and there were a number of suppliers. I really couldn't even tell you their names.

- Q. Is that all you can remember right now?
  - A. Yes.

ı

- Q. Focusing on the demographic group information that Roper supplied to you, did some of that demographic information relate to age groups?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. What was the youngest age group that Roper reported on?
  - A. 18 plus.
- Q. Do you know how -- strike that. Do you know where Roper obtained the information on the 18 plus samples that it reported on?

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

Zoler

A. The Roper -- the Roper studies were door-to-door studies and they would begin with a census tracts and maps of where people lived, and they would have various sampling points across the country, and would obtain quotas of so many respondents per sampling point so that you had a nationally representative sample.

I should mention further that most of the work that Roper did for us in this regard was really a couple of questions that had been tacked onto their ongoing public opinion surveys. So we basically hitchhiked onto a syndicated service that he was producing throughout the year.

- Q. Do you remember what the questions were that you tacked on?
- A. One had to do with do you currently -- do you currently smoke cigarettes, where there was some minimum level of cigarettes which I don't remember, perhaps a -- as much as a pack a day, I'm not sure, and if so, how many cigarettes do you smoke.
- Q. Other than formal committees, were you a member of any working groups within the marketing department?

### Zoler

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

There would be ad hoc groups where we might be -- these are -- I'd say they're closer to meetings as opposed to ongoing committees. But, for example, if we were asked to design a new sales report we would meet with the users of the sales report and talk about what they would want, what their objectives were, what time periods they were interested in, what comparisons they wanted to see. So these were not formal, they were ad hoc.

- Were there R&D -- strike that. there regularly scheduled meetings that you attended as part of the marketing department or an employee of the marketing department throughout the time you were employed at Philip Morris?
  - I'm sorry, can you repeat that.
- Were there regularly scheduled meetings that you attended in your employment at Philip Morris?
  - A. Yes.
  - ٥. Not committees --
  - Yeah.
  - -- but other sorts of meetings?

## L7117corn

#### Zoler

A		Y	es
-	•	•	

- Q. Could you identify those, please?
- A. Well, I attended lots of sales meetings and very often made presentations. They could be at a national level or they might be in a city or in a region. I attended meetings in Richmond where the status of new products was discussed.
- Q. Were there research and development general meetings that you attended?
- A. The meetings that I referred to where new products were discussed were the only meetings that I attended in Richmond.
- Q. Other than meetings you attended in Richmond, were there R&D general meetings?
- A. I was never part of the R&D department, so I don't know what -- what meetings they had.
- Q. Did you attend any meetings of vice presidents and directors in Richmond, get-togethers?
- A. It would have been -- it just would have been a dinner, for example, following an R4D meeting where we discussed the new products.

#### Zoler

Q. Were there any committees that you're aware of that were part of the marketing organization that you weren't a member of?

- A. I'm sure there were. I -- I couldn't answer that at this point.
- Q. Did the marketing department have a mission statement?
- A. It had various mission statements which kept changing over the years depending on the latest reorganization and who was now in charge of the given functions.
- Q. Did you assist in drafting or deriving the mission statement for the marketing department?
- A. I probably added a phrase or two, but I don't think it was much -- much beyond that.
- Q. Did the marketing department have a business plan?
- A. Yes, it did, but again that changed over the years from something formal to something very sketchy.
- Q. Did it start off when you first were employed there as a formal business plan and become sketchy, or the other way around?

2 3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it was both and it kept A. changing.

- Okay. Did you have any Ο. responsibility for drafting or giving input for the business plan?
- The primary responsibility that we A. had related to sales for forecasting and the sales forecasting numbers which we prepared were used by a number of departments including the marketing department in the development of their business plans.
- Q. Did you have any responsibility for coming up with a business plan for your subdepartment of the marketing department?
- We never used the word business plan, but we did have objectives and goals, and again, the amount of detail on that changed from time to time. They were often very, very specific.
- Q. Did the marketing research department have a budget?
  - A. Yes.
- Did you have any input in that department's budget?
  - A. Yes, I did.

#### Zoler

- Q. Was it your responsibility to suggest projects that the marketing research department would undertake during the course of a year?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Was it also your responsibility to estimate the amount of money it would take to carry out that project --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- or projects you suggested? At any time while you were employed at Philip Morris, did you ever create a budget for a study of youth smoking?
  - A. Never.

MR. HOWARD: Objection. You want to clarify what you mean by the term youth.

- Q. Did the marketing research department work closely with any other departments?
- A. We worked closely with many of the departments in the company.
  - Q. Which departments?
- A. Well, the departments we worked closest with were part of this sales/marketing division, so we worked very closely with the sales department and the field force. We worked

2 3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Zoler

closely with their sales promotion arm, with the brand management group, to a lesser extent with consumer promotion groups. We worked with the finance department, with Richmond, the product development group.

- Did you work with the advertising group at all?
  - Yes. Α.
  - Did you work closely with them?
- I should mention that the Yes. advertising function really had two parts, and the brand management group was responsible for the advertising campaigns, and the media department would be responsible for the selection and the approval of the advertising dollars that would be spent.
- As part of your employment at Philip Morris, did you have any contact with any advertising agencies?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Which advertising agencies?
- Again, this changed over time. largest advertising agency in terms of brands that they represented for Philip Morris is Leo

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

2058327728

2 3

1

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Burnett. At one point Wells Rich Green was a very important agency. There were Backer Spielvogel Bates, whatever their name is, had one or two brands, and there were other agencies that might have come in for specific assignments.

- Do you remember having contacts with any particular individuals at Leo Burnett?
- My primary contacts with all the agencies were the people on the -- their respective marketing research departments. would have had a lot of contact with the research directors themselves, and the people that reported to me would have worked closely with their counterparts in the agencies' marketing research departments.
- Do you remember any individuals in the marketing and research department at Leo Burnett that you had contacts with?
- Wells Rich Green, Murray Hysen, who was the research director. George Fabian was at Bates, Backer Spielvogel Bates. Cal Gage was one of the research directors at Leo Burnett. were others which unfortunately I can't -- can't recall.

Q.

2 3

employed by the Tobacco Research Institute?

Zoler

Did you have any contacts with anyone

A. No.

5 6

Did you have contacts with anyone employed by biological research facilities at Koln, Germany, known as INBIFO?

7 B

I've never heard of them.

9

Q. You've mentioned a couple of times today that you had contacts with other divisions

11

10

of Philip Morris. What other divisions did you

12

have interplay with?

Oscar Meyer.

13

As I said, the various divisions were purchased and or deaccessioned, whatever the term 14

15

is. One was Seven Up, another division was

16

Miller Beer, the International Tobacco Division.

17 18

couple of divisions which changed because of

Then we acquired General Foods and that had a

their reorganizations, but there were the people

20

representing like Maxwell House or Post Cereal or

21 22

Q. Where were the offices of the International Tobacco Division located?

23 24

They were -- again, I'm not

25

completely familiar with their operation.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### zoler

Certain years they were in the same building in New York that we were. At other times I believe they may have been in White Plains, and I really don't know enough about them to know exactly when.

MR. HOWARD: Whenever is convenient to take a break, counsel.

MR. PLATT: This is fine now.

MR. HOWARD: Now.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 10:04. We

are off the record.

(A recess was taken.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 10:15. We are on the record.

- Q. Mr. Zoler, were you acquainted with a Mr. Myron Johnston when you worked at Philip Morris?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. How long did you work with Mr. Johnston?

A. Mr. Johnson was there before I joined Philip Morris and was there after I left Philip Morris, so it was really the entire time that I was there.

. Q.	What	department	A58	Mr.	Johnston
employed	in?				

- A. Mr. Johnson was in the department whose name I may not totally know, but basically they were involved in consumer research as it applied to issues involving the research and development department.
- Q. Do you have any recollection of the title of that department?
  - A. No.

- Q. Where was that department located?
- A. It was located in Richmond.
- Q. Do you recall Mr. Johnston's job title?
- A. He was manager of something. I don't -- I really don't know, and probably his title changed over the years too.
- Q. You said that he was involved in consumer research as it applied to issues involving the research and development department. What sort of issues?
- A. Again, I don't know what his total responsibilities were because he was in Richmond and we were in New York. I know one area that he

7	_	٦	_	•
z	D	1	æ	- 2

spent a lot of time with and that was in product testing.

- Q. What do you mean by product testing?
- A. A product test is basically you provide smokers with a cigarette and you get their evaluations on that cigarette.
- Q. Do you know who Mr. Johnston reported to at any time during your employment at Philip Morris?
- A. One person he reported to was Harry Daniel.
  - Q. What was Mr. Daniel's position?
- A. He was head of this group, but as I say, I don't know the title of the group.
- Q. Was Mr. Daniel one of the managers that would attend the meetings of research directors?
  - A. I'm sorry, research directors where?
- Q. You said that there was a marketing research directors meetings or occasionally there would be these meetings of research directors from other --
  - A. Oh, the corporation?
  - Q. Yes.

3	Q. Mr. Daniel was also located in
4	Richmond; is that correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Are there any other Philip Morris
7	personnel that Mr. Johnston reported to that
8	you're aware of?
9	A. I believe one person was Colin Rowe.
10	Another person was I think Ed Gee. Carolyn Levy
11	may have been in that position, I'm not sure
12	exactly. But over the years there were there
13	were different people.
14	MR. PLATT: I'd like to have marked
15	as Exhibit 1 a document produced at Bates
16	1000390803 through 1000390855.
17	MR. HOWARD: This will be Zoler
18	1000390803 through 1000390855.  MR. HOWARD: This will be Zoler  Exhibit 1?
19	MR. PLATT: Yes.
20	(Soler Exhibit 1 for
21	identification, document Bates numbered
22	1000390803 through 1000390855.)
23	Q. Over the course of the next few hours
24	I'm going to ask you about several documents.

Whenever I ask you a question you should feel

25

Zoler

free to take whatever time you need to put my question in context. In other words, if you need time to read and familiarize yourself with language around language I may be pointing out, feel free to do so.

My first question for virtually every document is going to be do you recognize the document and can you identify it for the record?

- A. First of all, I don't remember this document specifically since the department either issued hundreds of documents while I was there or received hundreds of documents from other people. I see that I was carboned on this document and I am -- I'm sure I read it at the time.
- Q. You see that the report title is "Young Smokers Prevalence, Trends,
  Implications and Related Demographic Trends"?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you receive numerous reports on young smokers of the same general nature as what's been marked as Zoler Exhibit number 1?
- A. I have to read the document before I can answer your question.

7.	0	1	٠	Ŧ
·	_	•	•	•

Q. Take whatever time you need to review this and after doing so tell me whether, generally whether this was the sort of document that you received about young smokers on more than one occasion, or whether it was unusual for you to get this information.

MR. HOWARD: Do you mind if we go off the videotape while Mr. Zoler reads this?

MR. PLATT: No, that's fine. We can go off while you read it.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 10:22. We are off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 10:28. We are on the record.

- Q. When I was asking you whether you recognize the document you said that basically, and I don't want to misstate the record, but my impression was that you said you got a lot of documents and you didn't --
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you didn't necessarily remember this one specifically?
  - A. Correct.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1€

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Zoler

Q. My general question is focusing on the topic of this report, "Young Smokers - Prevalence, Trends, Implications and Related Demographic Trends," did you get a lot of information about young smokers in the course of your employment at Philip Morris or was it unusual for you to get this sort of information?

First of all, I'd like -- like to say that there's a major distinction on the data sources that we used or saw. For example, my responsibility was conducting research for the marketing research department for Philip Morris U.S.A., and that is there were many research studies and this is research that we paid for, commissioned and paid for and then analyzed. research that we conducted, and it was the policy of the department to only speak to people 18 plus. So if there is a reference to young smokers in the marketing research department's reports, we are talking 18 plus. It could be 18 to 24, it could be 18 to 34 depending on the specific nature of the -- of the program: best of my knowledge, that was the policy that we followed and enforced in all the research that we

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

2058327737

did.

This document was done by Myron who worked in the research and development area in Richmond. My understanding is that all the data in here that relates to people under 18 was research that had been conducted and probably paid for either by the government or some kind of educational institution. So Myron was not responsible for either commissioning these studies, conducting the studies or in fact even collecting the data. What he did in here was to take information that had already been published or available and report on what he -- what he saw, and he also report -- reported on what he felt his opinions were about the implications of these data.

So I think it's very important to establish this dichotomy between Philip Morris U.S.A. commissioned information and publicly-available information.

Q. As I understand it, what you've just said is this is not a report where we using our standard practice went out and interviewed people on our own, this is just a review of data

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

sources and educational institution sources? A. Yes.

Do you know who assigned Mr. Johnston the task of reviewing and reporting on that information concerning smokers who were of a younger age than 18?

Let me try to answer that a couple of ways. One is that I really don't know in any kind of specific way who asked Myron to do this, because he reported through an organizational hierarchy that was totally independent than the one that I reported to.

On a more general basis, Myron and part of that group I would almost classify as a think tank, where they had general responsibilities, again, I don't know the specific nature, I have no idea what their charter was, I have no idea what their objectives were, but to essentially look out into the environment and see what was happening.

To the best of my knowledge, for this activity they had no budget to pay for any research, and so they were taking advantage of

# zoler

what others, especially the government and these kinds of institutions, were doing.

- Q. If you look at the second page of the document, the one that has the ending Bates numbers 804.
- A. I'm sorry, I don't know where you are. Oh, okay. Fine.
- Q. Is this a form that you're familiar with?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. It refers to a research center of Philip Morris U.S.A. Where was that research center?
- A. That is what I was calling the research and development, the R&D center. It was -- it was located in Richmond and it was in a building next to the factory in Richmond.
- Q. Do you know what the purpose of that research center is?
- A. As I said, again, I was not in that hierarchy. I can tell you in general terms my understanding is their primary purpose was to develop new products and aid manufacturing in coming up with more efficient ways to make

1

cigarettes.

4

3

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. Was Mr. Johnston employed at the research center?

- A. Yes, he was in that building.
- Q. Which department of Philip Morris was in charge of the research center?
- The research center was part of the, what you would call the -- I guess it was called the operations department. The operations department was headed generally by an executive VP in New York, and reporting to that exec VP -and again, this changed from year to year and depending on the organization structure -- but in general it had an R&D arm which is -- and this research center was that R&D arm, I don't know if there were researchers, all of them were in that building or another, I don't know, but basically as far as I -- as far as I know, this is the R&D group, and there was a manufacturing group, and at one point in time there was a separate leaf purchasing group, and these -- these reported to the exec VP of operations.
- Q. Did the executive vice president of operations in New York report directly to the

832774

president of Philip Morris U.S.A.?

Yes.

1

2

3

24

25

library of reports that were filed according to

the information compiled on the cover sheet?

- A. I would assume so.
- Q. Do you see the keyword list at the bottom of that cover page?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you know who developed the keyword list?
  - A. No.
- Do you know whether there was a master list of keywords relating to reports produced by the research center?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you know whether there were other reports that you could access by using the keywords that are -- a couple of the keywords listed here, youth and young smokers?
- A. I personally never -- never used the system or the keywords to access, so I really don't know.
- Q. Do you know whether there was a system of access that allowed people to use keywords to retrieve information?
- A. I do not know definitively. I would assume there was if they did this.
  - Q. If you turn to the next page, Bates

- 805. Do you know who Dr. Robert B. Seligman, the addressee of the report, is?
- A. Robert Seligman at the time was the head of the research and development department or division, whatever their organizational title was.
- Q. Do you know what Dr. Seligman's degree was in?
- A. I know nothing about Dr. Seligman's background.
- Q. Did Dr. Seligman report to the executive vice president of operations?
  - A. To the best of my knowledge he did.
- Q. If you look at the carbon copies which are listed both on the distribution or the -- excuse me, the cover page, Bates 804, and are listed as carbon copies at Page 806, and I'll focus on the ones on 804 right now. Who was Mr. R. Thomson?
- A. He was a director of something, and I believe that Harry Daniel reported to him at the time.
  - Q. What was Mr. Daniel's position?
  - A. He was manager of this consumer group

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

В

•	١
- 6	
Č	j
ā	Ĺ
ū	
Ň	ì
	i
	ï
_,*	
7.5	
-	

2 that had Myron Johnson in it.

- Q. Well let me try and -- at the time of this report, did Mr. Johnston report to Mr. Daniel who in turn reported to Mr. Thomson who in turn reported to Mr. Seligman, or Dr. Seligman?
  - A. I believe that is the case.
  - Q. Who was Dr. Levy?
- A. Carolyn Levy was in the department at this time. I do not know what her relationship was to either Myron Johnson or to Harry Daniel.
  - Q. Do you know what her degree was in?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you recall who Mr. L. Meyer or Meyer was?
  - A. Mr. Meyer, it's Meyer in fact, was in charge of developing new products at the time.
  - Q. Was he also an employee at the research center?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Who is Mr. T. Goodale?
  - A. That's Tom Goodale, and he was an assistant to the executive VP of operations in New York.

.

### Zoler

 Q. Do you know of anyone who's not listed on this cover page, Bates 804 or in the carbon copies at 806, who received this report?

A. I have no idea.

Q. Do you know why Mr. Johnston was interested in teenage smoking?

- A. We in general were interested in developing highly reliable sales forecasts, and different departments at Philip Morris, including marketing research department, including business planning and including the operations group, were all developing the best forecasts we could come -- come up with on industry sales and in particular our brand sales.
- Q. If you look at Bates 808, under the heading "Summary," it says "It is important to know as much as possible about teenage smoking patterns and attitudes. Today's teenager is tomorrow's potential regular customer and the overwhelming majority of smokers first begin to smoke while still in their teens." Would you agree that is one ground for Philip Morris to be interested in teenage smoking?

MR. HOWARD: Objection. I thin

that's compound.

knowledge, the reason that this information is developed was really for forecasting, sales forecasting purposes. I personally do not remember any of the numbers in here, and as I said before, what my department did was studies of those 18 plus, and the reason was that I didn't rely -- I don't think this data is useful. I believe that people when they're 18 start making choices that are important and we can and should be tracking, again on a very general basis. All I remember about this kind of data is that it kept changing.

- Q. Do you recall ever forwarding any data on teenage smoking to the business planning department for the purpose of developing forecasts?
- A. I believe that I did forward documents such as this. I don't know whether I forwarded this specific document, but I believe that I did, yes.
- Q. Did you include or have any communications with the business planning

the report to your immediate superior, the executive vice president of marketing?

Do you know whether you distributed

Q. Do you know whether this report was ever, or a copy of this report was ever given to

Zoler

department to tell them that you didn't think that the data was reliable?

- A. I assume that we would discuss our forecasts. As I said, each group prepared independent forecasts. We believed in the forecasts that we were making. We did not use people under 18 for our forecasts. Business planning made their independent forecasts. I honestly don't know what they used, and from what I see here, again, I did not see the numbers. Operations undoubtedly did their own forecasting.
- Q. Do you recall anything that you did with the report? In other words, did you distribute it to other people?
- A. I believe I distributed reports like this to other people, yes. I don't know whether I distributed this specific report.
- A. As I say, I don't know about this specific report. It is highly likely that I did.
  - MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

Ŋ
8
w
N
~
N
£
Ō

-	_	٠	_	_
z	a	1	-	7

2 the president of Philip Morris U.S.A.?

- A. I have no way of knowing that.
- Q. Before Mr. Johnston wrote this report, had you ever spoken with him about teenage smoking?
- A. I -- I probably did because I knew he was doing studies like this.
- Q. How did you know that he was doing studies like that?
- A. He either mentioned it to me or I had . seen studies prior to this one. I can't recall.
- Q. Did you ever discuss the methodologies that he was using in performing these studies?
  - A. Being a researcher I probably did.
- Q. Did you ever make any suggestions to him for ways to improve the studies that they was performing?
  - A. I might have.
- Q. Do you recall ever writing anything relative to this study where you disagreed with any of Mr. Johnston's conclusions or opinions?
- A. Let me -- let me try to put this tactfully. Mr. Johnson is a respected

•

Zoler

researcher. He did not report to the marketing research department. As I said before, he and his area were a think tank. I think that it was their and is their obligation to think about different issues and present data that they come across. I never wrote, as far as I know, any memo that said please disregard this. On the other hand, we didn't use it. I think the value of this kind of information was very general, saying that the market, the industry which we were, as I said, primarily interested in forecasting, will get weaker in the future or will stay the same or will get stronger, and for the research, marketing research department purposes that was fine.

The -- I don't know what the numbers are, but I would guess that smokers 18 plus account for 90 some percent or more of sales of the industry. We focused our budget and our resources on getting a tight fix on what this 90 percent of smokers, who were legal -- legal age smokers, would be doing. It didn't -- I did not think anything under that had any value, under 18 years old had any value whatever.

- Q. You didn't think that information about the number of smokers who were 18 plus who started to smoke before they were 18 had any value?
- A. The -- again, we knew what those 18 plus were doing. We knew what people five years ago that were 18 plus were doing. We could always project that information. We certainly didn't need this information.
- Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Johnston you didn't need this information, it was kind of a waste of time for him to be compiling it?
- A. I -- you know, as I said, they were an independent think tank. They expressed the opinions that they felt. They were intellectually honest. I liked to talk to Myron when I could about issues. There was no reason to say that to him.

MR. PLATT: Let me have marked as Exhibit number 2 a memorandum by Mr. Johnston, addressed to Mr. Zoler, on the subject of trends and smoking among high school seniors, dated August 15th, 1985, which was reproduced under a cover memo written by Mr. Zoler to Mr. W.

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Campbell and Mr. F. Resnik on September 16th, 1985. The documents are at Bates 2040282065 through 2040282092.

(Zoler Exhibit 2 for identification, cover memo, by Mr. Zoler, to Mr. W. Campbell and Mr. F. Resnik dated September 16th, 1985, with attached memorandum by Mr. Johnston, to Mr. Zoler, subject: Trends and smoking among high school seniors, dated August 15th, 1985, Bates numbered 2040282065 through 2040282092.)

MR. HOWARD: Again, do you want to go off the record to give the witness time to review this document?

MR. PLATT: That's fine. Yes, let's do that. Take whatever time you need and review the report.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 10:55. are off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 11:04. are on the record.

Mr. Zoler, did you draft the memorandum that appears as the first page to 2058327752

Zoler

Zoler Exhibit 2 on or about September 6th, 1985 in your capacity as the director of marketing research?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Who are the gentleman that you address the memo to?
- A. W.I. Campbell was Bill Campbell who at that time was exec VP of marketing and sales. F.E. Resnik was Frank Resnik, who was president of the Philip Morris U.S.A. division at that time.
- Q. You sent it to your immediate superior and the head of the division on or about September 6th, 1985; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Why did you write -- strike that.

  Why did you believe that Mr. Johnston's memo on smoking trends among high school and college students was an important memo?
- A. Well, as I said in the memo here, it was the most comprehensive study that I had seen on the subject.
- Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Campbell or Mr. Resnik to tell them that you

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thought that the information included in Mr. Johnston's report was unreliable?

- I cannot recall what I said. 12 years ago.
- Q. You wrote that information from Mr. Johnston's report was going to be provided to the business planning department for use in their forecasting model to project industry sales. did you know that that was how the business planning department would use the data?
- I had had conversations from time to time with them about their forecasting model, and I knew that it was based on demographics including population, U.S. census population numbers, incidence of smoking numbers, consumption numbers by demographic group, and this was additional information that feed into their model.
- Did their model include any information about people who were younger than 18 years old?
  - A. I don't know.
- Did you ever see a copy of their model?

A. No. It was a mathematical model and quite honestly, it was -- they felt it was proprietary.

- Q. When you say they felt it was proprietary, do you know whether their model was or their projections were designated confidential within the company in a way that you couldn't access the information?
- A. Generally, the -- what I would get from them, and they would get from our department, would be numbers as to what we felt the industry sales would be year by year, and then at that time we would discuss why their number was, say, one percent or higher, one percent lower than our numbers, what assumptions we made. So the -- without getting into the mathematics of how their model worked or how our models would work, we in general talked about why we agreed with each other or why we were different.
- Q. Did you in fact forward

  Mr. Johnston's report or provide it to anyone in
  the planning, business planning department as you
  indicated in the cover memo?

- A. Yes. One of the carbonees is L. Wexler, who is Larry Wexler, and at that time he was in charge of the business planning department, at least the forecasting aspect part of it.
- Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Wexler about the information?
  - A. I can't remember.

- Q. Okay. Do you recall ever telling Mr. Wexler that any of the information was unreliable?
- A. Mr. Wexler knew -- I'm sorry.

  Mr. Wexler knew that we only used people 18

  plus. So he could reach his own conclusions
  whether we felt it was important or not.

MR. PLATT: Could you read the last answer, please.

(Requested portion of record read.)

- Q. Did you have any understanding about whether Mr. Wexler intended to only use information in the report about people who were 18 and over?
- A. I really don't know what Mr. Wexler did.

7.	_	١	•	+
-	o	_	•	_

- Did you provide this information to anyone other than the addressees and the carbon copies?
  - Not to my knowledge. A.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Did you ever have any conversations with Mr. Campbell or Mr. Resnik about the information?
  - I really can't remember. A.
- Did anyone in the marketing department that worked for you use the information?
  - I don't know.
- If you look at Page -- well, the page ending with Bates numbers 073, which is Page 8 of the text of Mr. Johnston's report, there is a fairly long list of carbon copies. Do you know who Mr. Claflin is?
- A. Someone who worked in the R&D department.
  - Same question for Mr. Daylor? Q.
  - Same answer.
- Okay. Let me go through it this way. Are there any people on the carbon copy list here who worked in departments other than

the R&D department?

\_

 A. Well, Tom Goodale who I mentioned before was in the operations department, but the R&D was part of operations. Karen Miller worked

for me. Barry O'Brien may have worked for me at that time, I'm not sure.

O. You see that the

Q. You see that the last listing on the carbon copy -- in the carbon copy group is the central file. Do you know whether that's a central file that was maintained at the research department as part of the R&D function?

A. I assume it is.

MR. HOWARD: By the way, I just want to note for the record that Zoler Exhibit 2, at least the portion beginning on Page 2 of Zoler Exhibit 2, the August 15, 1985 memorandum, from Myron Johnston does indicate a confidential stamp in the Texas case, and it indicates that there's some confidential information in that document, and to the extent the document would be part of the record of this transcript under the confidentiality order in Washington I'd ask that the transcript be designated confidential.

Q. Who's job was it at Philip Morris' to

ensure that the company knew as much as possible about teenage smoking patterns and attitudes?

MR. HOWARD: Objection; lack of

foundation. You can answer if able.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, what?

MR. HOWARD: I objected to the lack
of foundation for the record, but you may answer

if able.

- A. I really do not know how important anyone believed such information to be. The only person that regularly reported on the public information that was available on this issue, to the best of my knowledge, was Myron Johnston.
- Q. Do you know of anyone else at Philip Morris who also -- strike that. Do you know anyone at Philip Morris who assisted Mr. Johnston in looking at that publicly-available information?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. If you go back to Zoler Exhibit number 1, do you see Bates 805 which is the first page of text, trend number 5 refers to a national panel? Do you have any understanding what that national panel was?

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### Zoler

As I mentioned before, one of Myron's 2 3 primary responsibilities was to provide information on product tests that the R&D department conducted routinely and frequently. 5 The way they selected the sample for these 6 7 product tests was from their own national panel of smokers. Part of the classification data that they got from this panel included not only the 10 brand that the people normally smoked, but also 11 the number of cigarettes they smoked per day, and

Q. Looking at the trends that are identified on this first page, at the time he wrote this in March of 1981 did you disagree with the accuracy of his view of those trends?

I believe that is what he is referring to here.

- A. I really can't say which of these trends I agreed with or disagreed with then or even now. They, again, I'm repeating this, they were a totally independent group. We felt that we had the resources and the manpower to evaluate the information which we had commissioned and would evaluate and come up with our own analysis of what we felt the trends were.
  - Q. At Bates 806, which is Page 2,

25

Mr. Johnston wrote that "It is inevitable, therefore, that industry sales will begin to decline within the next few years." Did you discuss that conclusion with anyone?

- A. Let me answer that in a couple of parts. One is I really don't remember about the specific point. Two, and more importantly, we had our own forecasts which will either agree with or disagree with this conclusion, and I have confidence in our own forecasts.
- Q. Do you recall whether your own forecasts predicted that industry sales would begin to decline within the next few years as of 1981?
- A. Again, it's a virtually impossible question to answer except in the most general sense, that industry sales while I was there were declining very slightly in, as I recall, virtually every year. There were some years where they seemed to be declining a little more than in other years.
- Q. Do you recall whether your forecasts were for continued declines?
  - A. I do not remember the specific nature

of the forecasts or the exact numbers.

- Q. If you look at Bates \$29, which is Page 22 of the memorandum.
  - A. I'm sorry, what number?
  - Q. The ending number is \$29.
  - A. 829.

- Q. Looking over Mr. Johnston's plans, do you remember discussing any of those plans with him?
  - A. No.
- Q. You see his third plan is to "summarize the results of journal articles on psychological and behavioral correlates of teenage cigarette smoking." Do you know whether he ever did that?
  - A. No.
- Q. His fourth plan was to "Recruit additional young panelists for the national panel and then to conduct a psychographic study of 18 to 21 year old smokers and nonsmokers using the Edwards Personal Preference Inventory." Do you know whether he ever did that?
  - I know nothing about this.
  - Q. Do you know what the Edwards Personal

7	_	3	•	
~	u	-	=	

Preference Inventory is?

- A. In a general sense, but I've never used it.
- Q. If you look at Page 831, Mr. Johnston wrote under the heading "Description of data sources," "In the past we have had to make due with data on teenage cigarette smoking from small samples using techniques that were frequently questionable." Do you have any understanding of what Mr. Johnston meant when he referred to the frequently questionable techniques?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you know whether anyone else within the Philip Morris organization used the report we've been looking at that has been marked Zoler number 1 in carrying out the company's business?
  - A. I don't have any idea.

MR. PLATT: Let me have marked as Exhibit number 3 a memorandum dated February 22nd, 1979, from Mr. Johnston to Mr. Zoler. It's been produced at Bates WA 10007660 -- excuse me, 888.

(Soler Exhibit 3 for

N
ū
w
N
~
~
9
£

-	_	٠	_	_
77.	^	1	-	•

identification, memorandum, from Mr. Johnston to Mr. Zoler, dated February 22nd, 1979, Bates numbered WA 1000766888.)

- Q. Is this a memorandum that you received as the director of marketing research on or about Pebruary 22nd, 1979?
  - A. Based on what it says it is.
  - Q. Who was Dr. A. Udow?
  - A. Al Udow reported to me.
  - Q. Excuse me?

- A. Dr. A. Udow reported to me.
- Q. What was his position at the time of the memorandum?
- A. He was manager of some aspect of consumer research studies.
- Q. Do you know why Mr. Johnston was forwarding information to Mr. Udow concerning the incidence of teenage smoking?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever speak to Dr. Udow concerning the incidence of teenage smoking?
- A. I have -- I really cannot say. As I said, the policy of the department was to only conduct studies among people 18 plus.

- Q. But was it also the policy of the department to use whatever information it could gather concerning smoking of people who were younger than 18?
- A. Just based on what I read here, and as I said I have absolutely no recollections of the incident or this memo, someone may have asked us a number and so we were trying to find a number.
- Q. I just want to be very clear. Was there a policy in your department against the use of information concerning people who were under 18 and who smoked?
  - A. Would you please repeat that.
- Q. Yes. Was there a policy in your department that prohibited the use of information about people younger than 18 who smoked?
- A. If -- first of all, the information -- if information were public we used it.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, can we pause to change tapes, please?

MR. PLATT: Yes.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 11:28. This

is the end of tape 1 of the deposition of Jon Zoler. We are off the record.

Today is May 20th, 1997. This is the beginning of tape 2 of the deposition of Jon Zoler. It is 11:29. We are on the record.

- Q. Do you remember what Dr. Udow's area of expertise was, what his degree was in?
  - A. No.

- Q. Who is -- is it Dr. Pagan that's listed as a carbon copy on Exhibit 3?
  - A. I don't recall the name.

MR. PLATT: I'd like to have marked as Zoler Exhibit number 4 a memorandum dated November 10th, 1977, from N. Holbert, H-o-l-b-e-r-t, to Mr. Zoler. It's been produced at Bates 2041761868 through 2041761871.

(Zoler Exhibit 4 for identification, memorandum, from N. Holbert, to Mr. Zoler, dated November 10th, 1977, Bates numbered 2041761868 through 2041761871.)

Q. Take whatever time you need to review this and tell me whether it's a memorandum that you received as the director of market research on or about the date on the memorandum, November

Z.	٥	1	r

2 10th, 1977?

- A. I'm unable to read this second page. Perhaps you have a better copy.
- Q. I'm afraid not. This is how it was produced to us. Just looking at the first page, the cover page, do you know whether you got this memo?
  - A. I would assume I did get the memo.
  - Q. Who was Mr. Holbert?
- A. Neil Holbert was manager of I guess primarily the tracking study research we did in the department.
- Q. Did Mr. Holbert use the table of teenage incidence data that he's listed being part of this memo in performing his work?
- A. He reported, from -- from, again, the gist of this memo, he reported on the information that he had seen.
- Q. Did your department make use of this information?
- A. We reported on this information. We did not collect it. And to the best of my knowledge, we never used it.
  - Q. Do you know whether this information

7	_	٦	_	•
~	u	_	•	- 3

was forwarded to anyone other than the carbon copies?

A. I don't know.

- Q. Who was J. Isaacs?
- A. He was a member of the marketing research department and he may at that time have been reporting to Neil Holbert, I don't know.
  - O. Same question for D. Mazzitelli?
- A. Dom Mazzitelli was also a member of the marketing research department.
- Q. Both of those folks were under you; is that correct? They reported to you?
- A. They -- maybe not directly, but they were -- yes, ultimately they did.
  - Q. They were part of your staff?
- A. Correct.

MR. PLATT: Let me have marked as Zoler Exhibit 5 a memorandum dated January 19th, 1983, from Mr. Johnston to Mr. Zoler with attachments. It's at Bates 2041761861 through 2041761867.

(Zoler Exhibit 5 for identification, memorandum, from Mr. Johnston to Mr. Zoler, with attachments, dated January 19th,

- Q. Is this a memorandum that you received on or about January 19th, 1983 as director of marketing research?
  - A. Based on what it says, I did.
- Q. The memorandum refers to a conversation in which you questioned whether people who hadn't started smoking by age 18 were unlikely to ever become smokers. Do you remember what led you to question whether the conventional wisdom referred to here was correct?
  - A. No.

- Q. Did you agree with the conclusion that Mr. Johnston writes when he says "Clearly, conventional wisdom has to be rephrased to read 'anyone who has not become a smoker by age 25 is unlikely to become a smoker'"?
- A. I'm sorry can you repeat the question.
- Q. Do you agree or did you at the time agree with Mr. Johnston's conclusion as expressed by the following: "Clearly, conventional wisdom has to be rephrased to read 'anyone who has not

-		•	_	_
z	a	1	2	I

become a smoker by age 25 is unlikely to become a smoker' ??

- A. Again, I have no idea what I believed at the time. I assume that at the time I would have studied very carefully all the data that was in here. There's -- there's really no reason to question this point, nor do I think it's very important.
- Q. Did you use the information in this memorandum in any way?
- A. Again, we're asking for something that happened 14 years ago. As I said a number of times, we had our own sources of information and we relied on our data.
- Q. As part of your information, did you ever ask people when they started smoking?
  - A. We may have.
- Q. You don't recall whether you asked people in all the studies you did what age they were when they started smoking?
- A. There probably were some studies where we did.
- Q. Okay. Why were you interested in that information?

#### Zoler

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

- Someone probably asked the question.
- Why would that question be of any importance to you in your job as the director of marketing research at Philip Morris?
  - It wouldn't be.
  - It wouldn't be? Q.
  - No.
- So you were asking questions in your studies that were not important to you?
- We were asking questions that somebody might have asked us.
- Okay. Do you know who might have asked you to include in your studies questions about when it was that people started smoking?
- First of all, let me say that we probably did 40 to 50 studies a year, and we probably were asked hundreds of questions a There is no way that I could say who asked what question when.
- Q. Did you ever suggest questions to be included in your studies?
  - A. Yes.
- Did you have any veto power of what questions would be included in your studies?

# 

## Zoler

- A. Sometimes I did, sometimes I didn't.
- . Q. Do you recall anything more specifically about who might have asked you to include questions about what age people were when they started smoking in your studies?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever suggest that that was unimportant and you really shouldn't include it in the study, it was just a waste of time?
  - A. It depends who asked me to do it.
- Q. Do you recall ever saying to anyone, you know, these questions about what age people were when they started smoking is useless, we shouldn't have them in our studies?
- A. I cannot recall any discussions concerning that.
- Q. I'm sorry, at Bates 0 -- strike that. Sorry.

MR. PLATT: If we could go off the record here for a minute so I can organize this it might save us some time.

THE VIDBOGRAPHER: It is 11:41. We are off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

## Zoler

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 11:49. are on the record.

MR. PLATT: I'd like to have marked as Zoler Exhibit 6 a memorandum dated March 17, 1988, from Mr. Johnston to Mr. Zoler on the subject of smoking among high school seniors, at Bates 2042329558 through 2042329566.

(Zoler Exhibit 6 for identification, memorandum, from Mr. Johnston, to Mr. Zoler, subject: Smoking among high school seniors, dated March 17, 1988, Bates numbered 2042329558 through 2042329566.)

- Mr. Zoler, is this a memorandum you received from Mr. Johnston on or about March 17, 1988?
- Based on what the memorandum says, yes, I did.
- Mr. Johnston in the first paragraph on the first page comments that 'The best predictor appears to be the one you have chosen to use, smoked within the past 30 days." Take whatever time you need to read the language around that and tell me do you remember the circumstances that led you to select the

#### Zoler

predictor that Mr. Johnston refers to there?

- A. I really don't.
- Q. If you look at the last page of the text which is Bates 561, Mr. Johnston concludes the report with the statement "Now that I've had a chance to look at the PHS" --
  - A. I'm sorry, where are you?
- Q. The very last sentence of the text of the report at Page 561. It says "Now that I've had a chance to look at the PHS data and compare the two data sources, I'm even more confident than before that we can use the data on high school seniors to predict trends in smoking among young adults." Did you agree with that conclusion?
- A. I really don't remember anything about this memo or the techniques that Myron used.
- Q. If you look at the list of carbon copies, there's a Mr. Gee. Do you know what his position was at the time?
- A. At the time he may have been Myron's boss.
  - Q. What about Mr. Houghton?

H22725RC

1	Zoler
2	A. Ken Houghton was I think he was
3	the director of research and development.
4	Q. What about Mr. Myracle?
5	A. I don't know his position at that
6	time.
7	Q. Mr. Nelson, do you know what his
8	position was at the time?
9	A. No, I don't.
10	Q. Do you know what Mr. Tindall's
11	position was at the time?
12	A. I believe he was a manager at roughly
13	the same level as Myron.
14	Q. Did you discuss this report to
15	anyone?
16	A. I don't know.
17	Q. Do you know whether anyone
18	distributed it beyond the persons that are listed
19	as having received it?
20	A. I don't.
21	ق الماريخ الما
22	A. I don't.
23	$\sim$
24	
I	

MANHATIAN KEPURTING CORP

Q. Was there a formal document retention policy for the marketing research department?

A. We had a formal policy. It was, quite honestly, kind of sloppy, but there was a policy where anyone that issued a memo to anyone, one copy of it should have gone into the file.

- Q. Was there a policy that governed how long documents that were placed in the files would be maintained?
- A. There were policies which changed over the years in terms of how many years, and I

believe they were done in conjunction with the legal department as to how long they felt it was necessary to keep these documents.

- Q. Did you ever learn about any litigation against Philip Morris which resulted in a request for information from your department?
- A. I assume we were -- I can't recall the exact specifics, but -- and I would not have been involved myself. Somebody on my staff might have worked with an attorney who would request information from the files.
- Q. Do you recall ever getting any instructions to not destroy information pertaining to given topics because they were relevant to pending litigation?
- A. I don't -- I don't remember any of that.
- Q. Did you ever participate in any review of the documents in the marketing department for the purpose of responding to requests for information in various litigations?
- A. As I recall, there were some cases which I do not remember the details, where

networked to a central file that was resident within the marketing research department or to a central file that networked other departments as well?

- A. Again, things changed with time, and as the technology improved and the speed and availability of these machines advanced we did different things. The information that we accessed I believe, again I never used, I never used it, had to do with, primarily had to do with sales data, market share data and I believe the tracking study which was our large random digit telephone sample that we did every year. And so different members of the department or different people in, say, brand management would be able to see what Marlboro's share was in Omaha or how Virginia Slims did in the midwest.
- Q. Did any of the information that management eventually had access to in the computer system include information about the age of smokers who were using those brands?
  - A. If -- for smokers 18 plus it did.
- Q. Was there a formal policy concerning the retention of electronic documents?

- A. I don't remember what it was.
- Q. Do you recall if there was one?
- A. No.
- Q. Was there an internal classification system for the documents produced by the marketing research department whereby you can restrict access?
- A. Well, there was a -- I wouldn't say it was a formal system. There was an informal system and one brand manager, you know, the brand manager of Virginia Slims would get information on Virginia Slims and not necessarily get a report that was on Marlboro.
- Q. I'm thinking more broadly than that. For instance, if you produced a report that you considered sensitive, did you have any way or method of ensuring that that report would be seen only by the people who you determined needed to see it?
- A. Other than salary reviews or performance appraisals or things like that, I don't recall handling things that were especially sensitive.

MR. PLATT: I don't have any further

Zoler 2 questions. 3 MR. HOWARD: I just have one question. 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. HOWARD: 6 The fact that you are being reimbursed for your time and have been reimbursed for your time during this deposition, has that had any impact on your testimony today? 9 10 Absolutely none. I've left -- I left Philip Morris eight, nine years ago, and I think 11 12 my personal reputation is worth a lot more than any possible reimbursement. 13 MR. HOWARD: 14 That's fine. 15 further questions. 16 EXAMINATION BY MR. PLATT: 17 I have one followup to that. I think you said today you expect to be paid 18 approximately \$45,000? 19 20 No, four to five. 21 ٥. Four to five? 22 A. Correct. 23 MR. PLATT: With that I don't have 24 any further questions. 25 MR. DOBBERTEEN: Let's go off the

Zoler 1 2 record. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 12:15, this 3 is the end of tape 2 of the deposition of Jon Zoler. We are off the record. 5 (Discussion off the record.) 6 MR. HOWARD: The stipulation is that 7 this transcript is designated confidential 8 pursuant to the Washington confidentiality order and that Mr. Zoler will have 30 days after 10 receipt of the transcript of this deposition to 11 review it for any errors, typographical errors or 12 what-not and to sign in front of any notary. 13 MR. PLATT: So stipulated. 14 (Time noted: 12:15 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 JON N. ZOLER 19 Subscribed and sworn to before me 20 this \_\_\_\_\_, day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1997. 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATI

STATE OF NEW YORK )

: 88.

COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

I, GAIL F. SCHORR, a Certified

Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and
for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That JON N. ZOLER, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 22 day of Man, 1997.

Auf Frum

GAIL F. SCHORR, C.S.R, C.R.R.

PAGE LINE

1 2

	_	•	**	•	_	-	•	-	
•									
DESCRIPTION									

(Zoler Exhibit 3 for identification, memorandum, from Mr. Johnston to

(Zoler Exhibit 5 for identification, memorandum, from Mr. Johnston to Mr. Zoler, with attachments, dated January 19th 1983 Pares numbered

(Toler Exhibit 6 for identification, memorandum, from Mr. Johnston, to Mr. Zoler, subject: Smoking among high school seniors, dated March 17, 1988, Bates numbered 2042329558 through

MANHATTAN REPORTING CORP.

\_\_\_\_

record.

Zoler.

93

1

Zoler

2

7 8

9

10

11

73

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It is 12:15, this is the end of tape 2 of the deposition of Jon We are off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. HOWARD: The stipulation is that this transcript is designated confidential pursuant to the Washington confidentiality order and that Mr. Zoler will have 30 days after receipt of the transcript of this deposition to review it for any errors, typographical errors or what not and to sign in front of any notary.

> So stipulated. MR. PLATT:

(Time noted: 12:15 p.m.)

Mary Giordano rion Empires August 22, 2001

## ERRATA SHEET

# Deposition of Jon N. Zeler May 20, 1997

## Page and Line

## p. 12; line 16

# p. 60; line 18

# p. 83; lines 15-16

## Correction

change "\$30,000" to "\$60,000"

change "that feed" to "to feed"

change "The cigarette industry in the market truly fragmented" to "The cigarette industry as a market is truly fragmented."